

Parliamentary Library Information analysis and advice for the Parliament **BILLS DIGEST** 

27 March 2006, no. 110, 2005-06, ISSN 1328-8091

# Bankruptcy Legislation Amendment (Fees and Charges) Bill 2006

Susan Dudley Law and Bills Digest Section

# **Contents**

Purpose
Background
Cost Recovery Guidelines - background
ITSA's Cost Recovery review
General levy on realisations from debt agreements
Setting of fees and charges
Main provisions
Concluding comments
Endnotes9
Appendix Schedule of Fees and Charges

# Bankruptcy Legislation Amendment (Fees and Charges) Bill 2006

**Date introduced:** 16 February 2006

**House:** House of Representatives

Portfolio: Attorney-General

**Commencement:** Schedule 1 Part 1, Schedule 2 and Schedule 3 commence on 1 July 2006. Commencement of Schedule 1 Part 2 is dependent on the Bankruptcy Legislation Amendments (Anti-avoidance) Act 2006 commencing

operation. Schedule 4 commences on Royal Assent.

# **Purpose**

This Bill amends the *Bankruptcy Act 1966* and related legislation to increase the cost recovery measures of the Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia (ITSA).

# **Background**

The Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia is the government agency responsible for the administration and regulation of the personal insolvency system in Australia. During 2004-05 ITSA completed a review of its cost recovery arrangements.

Cost recovery has been described by the Productivity Commission in the following terms:

Cost recovery differs from general taxation which raises revenue to fund a wide range of Government activities or products. Cost recovery, on the other hand, recovers some or all of the costs of a particular Government activity or product.....

The most direct forms of cost recovery are where particular users are charged a fee based on the cost of providing the Government product consumed. Less direct forms include special levies or earmarked taxes to fund a specific Government activity. The link between the revenue raised and the funding of a specific activity distinguishes taxes imposed for cost recovery from general taxation. The fact that cost recovery is usually not undertaken with a view to generating a profit distinguishes it from the pricing objectives of government business enterprises. <sup>1</sup>

## Cost Recovery Guidelines - background

ITSA conducted a review of its cost recovery arrangements in accordance with the Commonwealth Cost Recovery Guidelines for Information and Regulatory Agencies, issued by the Department of Finance and Administration.

## Warning:

The Productivity Commission (PC) inquired into cost recovery arrangements within Government Agencies. Its report, *Cost Recovery by Government Agencies*, was released in March 2002.

In its report the PC noted that 'notwithstanding its increased significance, cost recovery currently lacks the attributes of good policy – namely, a clear rationale, accountability, transparency, performance assessment and review'.<sup>2</sup>

In response to the PC's report the Government, in December 2002, announced that it would introduce a formal cost recovery policy for Government agencies.<sup>3</sup> This cost recovery policy is located on the Department of Finance website at <a href="http://www.finance.gov.au/finframework/docs/Guidelines">http://www.finance.gov.au/finframework/docs/Guidelines</a> - Regulatory 2 Dec.rtf. The cost recovery policy states that Commonwealth regulatory agencies should apply these Guidelines when a review of cost recovery arrangements is required.

## ITSA's Cost Recovery review

In accordance with the cost recovery guidelines, ITSA reviewed all its fees and charges in 2004 and identified which services should be cost recovered, the type of charge to apply, who should pay and which services would be more appropriately funded through general taxation.

ITSA's portfolio budget statements for 2004-05 state that:

Applying cost recovery policy would result in variations to existing fees and charges in Bankruptcy Act services to ensure they reflect the full cost of the service, and introduction of a new charge – ie extension of the 'realisations charge' – to apply to moneys recovered in debt agreements.

The review concluded that fees and charges should be applied to all Bankruptcy Act services provided by ITSA, other than the processing of debtor's petitions and debt agreement proposals, policy and legislation reform and other support services to the Minister and Parliament, which should be Budget funded.

In connection with ITSA's proceeds of crime activities, costs of controlling and selling property are recovered from the administration when sufficient assets are forfeited. When assets under control are not forfeited, or if their value is insufficient to cover costs, Budget funding of the Official trustee's costs is appropriate.

These measures would increase the proportion of ITSA's costs which are recovered in fees and charges from 58% to 72%. Implementation of cost recovery is estimated to reduce annual net budget funding to ITSA by approximately \$6m per year. 4

The portfolio budget statements go on to state that:

Implementation of the revised fees and charges will generate an additional revenue of \$6.9m per year once fully implemented. This would be offset by \$0.9m per year to

#### Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

meet the cost of changes to existing systems and processes which would be required to deal with new billing and time recording arrangements.<sup>5</sup>

The following table, drawn from the budget statement, sets out the proposed schedule of fees and charges for ITSA at the time of the review as well as the levels of fees and charges that were in place at the time of the review.

		SCHEDULE (	OF FEES AND	CHARGES		
Acti	vity	Method of	Volume of	Current	Cost recovery	Total cost of
		recovery	activity	price	price	activity (\$m)
1.1	Policy, legislation reform and	Budget	N/A	Free of charge	Free of charge	0.80
	support to ministers	funded				
1.2	Indemnity of funding for	Budget	60 matters	Free of charge	Free of charge	0.60
1,2	trustees - Section 305	funded	oo matters	rice or charge	rice of charge	0,00
2.1	· Processing of debtor's petitions	Budget	20,000 DPs	Free of charge	Free of charge	6,50
	and debt agreement proposals	funded	6000 DAs			
	<ul> <li>Processing the filing of a PIA</li> </ul>	Fee for	300 PIAs	\$300 per	\$400 per	0.12
		service		lodgement	lodgement	
2.2	Issue of Bankruptcy Notices	Fee for	7600 Notices	\$300 per	\$400 per notice	3.04
2,2	issue of Bankruptcy Notices	service	7000 Notices	notice	\$400 per nonce	3,04
2.3	Issue of Official Receiver	Fee for	675 Notices	\$145 to \$285	\$400 per notice	0.70
2,2	notices, eg s77AA, s77C,	service	272110000	per hour	+ \$200 per hr if	0,70
	s139ZQ, s13s9ZL; and OR	3617166		depending on	time required is	
	taxing of costs			level of staff	in excess of 2	
	•			utilised	hrs	
2.4	<ul> <li>NPII searches at ITSA offices</li> </ul>	Fee for	19,000	\$21 per search	\$22 per search	0,42
		service	searches			
				\$10 per search		
	<ul> <li>NPII searches via information</li> </ul>	Fee for	193,000	+ \$2 per	\$14 per search	2,70
1 1	brokers	service	searches	extract		
				\$20 mar		
1 1	· Inspecting documents	Fee for	900	\$20 per inspection +	\$20 per	0.02
	- inspecting documents	service	Inspections	\$2 per copy	inspection + \$2	0,02
		Service	mspections	32 per copy	per copy	
1 1				\$1.62 per	pri vop;	
1 1	<ul> <li>Personal Insolvency Reporting</li> </ul>	Fee for	151,000 new	record +	\$1.62 per	0.24
	Service	service	records	maintenance	record +	
				charges	maintenance	
2.5				<u> </u>	charges	
2.5	Regulation of Trustees:	Cost of \$0.2m	to be recovered t	hrough the levy (i	tem 7 below)	
	Information and education					
3.1	Regulation of Trustees:	Cost of \$1.8m	to be recovered th	rough the levy (it	tem 7 below)	
5,1	Monitoring and Compliance	C031 01 \$1,011	to be recovered in		, , , , ,	
	2					
3.2	Regulation of Trustees	Fee for	12 interviews	\$1,500	\$2000 per	0.02
	Interviewing registered trustee	service			application	
	applicants					
	- I-iti-Ii-tti C:	Fee for	11 initial	\$1,000	\$1,200 per	0.01
	<ul> <li>Initial registration of trustee</li> </ul>	service	registrations		application	
		Fee for	60 renewals	\$1,000 every	\$1,200 every	0.07
	Renewal of trustee registration	service	per annum	three years	three years	0.07
			p	ince yours	yours	

Warning:
This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

Activity		Method of	Volume of	Current	Cost recovery	Total cost of			
		recovery	activity	price	price	activity (\$m)			
3,3	Inspector General reviews		to be recovered th		,				
4.1	Administration of estates with potential assets	Cost of \$4.9m							
4,2	Administration of bankrupt estate and special administrations – Official Trustee	Fee for service	40,000 Hrs	\$4500 flag fall + 16.26% of realisations between \$4500 to \$50,000 +10.84% thereafter	Activity/time based charges with some charges based on value of the estate	7.70			
5,1	Investigation of Bankruptcy Act Offences	Cost of \$1.8m	to be recovered th	nrough the levy (i	rough the levy (item 7 below)				
6.1	Proceeds of Crime								
	" matters which realise assets	Fee for service	Over 150 control and forfeiture	\$145 - \$285 per hour	\$200 per hour	0,3			
	matters which do not realise assets	Budget funded	matters	Free of charge	Free of charge	1,1			
7	Activities undertaken to maintain creditor confidence in the personal insolvency system:  • Bankruptcy Regulation  • Bankruptcy Fraud Investigation  • Official Trustee enquiries into select bankruptcy estates  • I-G Reviews  • Policy information sessions	Levy on realisations in bankruptey, DAs and PIAs	Realisations totalling \$140m pa from bankruptcy, DAs and PIAs	8% of all realisations under bankruptey and PIAs (DAs currently excluded)	6.5% of all realisations under bankruptcy, DAs and PIAs	9,20			
			I	To	tal fee for service	15.04			
					tal levy recovered	9,20			
				To	tal budget funded	9,30			
					TOTAL	33.54			

Source: ITSA Cost Recovery Impact Statement

As noted above, the proposed changes to fee and charge arrangements will raise an additional \$6.9 million for ITSA. As the table indicates, many of ITSA's functions are currently paid for through fees and charges. The review did not suggest that any new fees and charges should be imposed on ITSA's functions. The review has however recommended that there be an increase in current fees and charges.

Since the time of publishing this information in the budget documents, ITSA has refined the proposed new fee and charge arrangements. The revised fees and charges (current at December 2005) are set out in the appendix.

### Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

# General levy on realisations from debt agreements

The cost recovery review had recommended that a general levy should be imposed on debt agreements. Debt agreements first became available to people in financial difficulties in 1996. As the table below indicates, the use of debt agreements has steadily grown and in the 2004-05 financial year made up 18.6% of all personal insolvency administrations. This rise in the use of debt agreements has taken place at the same time as the share of personal insolvency agreements has fallen to 0.8% and bankruptcies to 80.6%.

TABLE 1: Debt agreements, personal insolvency agreements and bankruptcies as a proportion of all personal insolvency administrations											
	Debt ag	reements	insol	onal vency ments	Bankrı	ıptcies	To	tal			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%			
2000-01	1 234	4.8	424	1.5	23 907	93.7	25 565	100			
2001-02	3 294	11.8	454	1.6	24 109	86.6	27 857	100			
2002-03	4 550	16.5	405	1.4	22 637	82.1	27 592	100			
2003-04	5 487	20.8	302	1.2	20 496	78.0	26 285	100			
2004-05	4 738	18.6	207	0.8	20 501	80.6	25 446	100			

Source: ITSA Annual Report 2004-05<sup>6</sup>

Currently the realisations from bankruptcies and personal insolvency agreements are subject to an 8% levy. The revised fee and charge arrangements proposed to reduce that levy to 6.5% but to also impose a 6.5% levy on realisations from debt agreements.

Some groups were opposed to imposing such a levy on debt agreements. The Law Council of Australia, for example, argued that:

The sub-committee opposes the implementation of any realisation charges upon Debt Agreements as they would tend to undermine the very purpose for which they were first introduced. Such Agreements are of a low order of magnitude of debts and are intended as an efficient system for keeping bankruptcy numbers down and avoiding the cost which would arise from the administration of these estates as bankruptcies'.<sup>7</sup>

Creditors and debt agreement administrators also argued that the imposition of this levy would place a significant additional cost on debt agreements and would deter people from using them.<sup>8</sup>

At the time of the review, however, ITSA argued that debt agreements do require regulatory and compliance work by ITSA and hence should be subject to a levy.<sup>9</sup>

## Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

More recently, the Government has decided to continue with the levy on personal administrations and bankruptcies but to not impose it on debt agreements. In the Second Reading Speech to the Bill, the Attorney-General stated that:

The government has decided not to apply the realisations charge to money received in debt agreements. This will ensure that debt agreements continue to be available as a viable alternative to bankruptcy for many debtors. <sup>10</sup>

The rate of the levy has yet to be determined however the Bill states that the rate must not be more than 15% of realisations.

The money from this levy will be used to fund ITSA functions such as monitoring and investigating complaints against insolvency practitioners, <sup>11</sup> providing an information service <sup>12</sup>. Inspector General reviews, <sup>13</sup> and investigation of bankruptcy offences. <sup>14</sup>

## Setting of fees and charges

Currently fees and charges are set either through legislation or regulations. The Bill changes this arrangement so that all fees and charges will now be set by way of legislative instrument made by the Minister.

The explanatory memorandum to the Bill explains that this 'will provide the responsiveness and flexibility required to enable effective and fair cost recovery for services supplied to stakeholders'. <sup>15</sup>

The legislative instruments have not been exempted from the *Legislative Instruments Act* 2003 and hence will be 'disallowable instruments' for the purposes of that Act.

# Main provisions

**Schedule 1, parts 1 and 2** amend the *Bankruptcy Act 1966* so that fees for different services under the Act can be set by a legislative instrument by the Minister. Currently these fees are set through regulations.

**Schedule 2** amends the *Bankruptcy (Estate Charges) Act 1997* so that the Minister will set the percentage amount of the levy on personal administrations and bankruptcies by legislative instrument under that Act. Currently the amount of the levy is set by way of regulation. Schedule 2 also removes the minimum levy amount of 8% but retains the maximum amount of 15%.

**Schedule 3** repeals the *Bankruptcy (Registration Charges) Act 1997*. Fees that were set under this act will now be set under the *Bankruptcy Act 1966*.

#### Warning:

**Schedule 4** makes some minor technical amendments to the *Bankruptcy Act 1966*. In particular, the amendments will help ITSA deliver their services electronically.

# **Concluding comments**

This Bill implements cost recovery measures for the Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia. The Bill follows on from a review of the current cost recovery arrangements of ITSA. It is proposed that the new cost recovery arrangements will increase the proportion of ITSA's costs which are recovered in fees and charges from 58% to 72%.

The Bill does not set out what the new fees and charges for ITSA will be. Rather it creates a series of legislative instrument making powers for the Minister to set the fees and charges. Any legislative instruments made by the Minister under these powers will be disallowable by Federal Parliament. The fees and charges will be reviewed every two years in consultation with stakeholders.

# **Endnotes**

1 Productivity Commission, Cost Recovery by Government Agencies Inquiry Report, Report No. 15, 16 August 2001, Ausinfo, Canberra, 2001, p. xxxii.

2 ibid.

3 *Commonwealth Cost Recovery Policy* Media Release, Senator Nick Minchin, Minister for Finance and Administration, 4 December 2002.

- 4. Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia, *Budget Papers* 2005-06, p. 384.
- 5. ibid.
- 6. Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia, Annual Report 2004-2005, Canberra, 2005, p. 17.
- 7. Law Council of Australia, 'Submission to the Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia Cost Recovery Review', 24 January 2005.
- 8. Discussions with ITSA, March 2006.
- 9. Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia, *Cost Recovery Impact Statement*, February 2005, p. 19.
- 10. Bankruptcy Legislation Amendment (Fees and Charges ) Bill 2005 Second Reading Speech.
- 11. ibid., p. 5–6.
- 12. Ibid., p. 5.
- 13. ibid., p. 6.

## Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

- 14. ibid., p. 9.
- 15. Bankruptcy Legislation Amendment (Fees and Charges) Bill 2005 Explanatory Memorandum, p. 3.

## **Appendix** Schedule of Fees and Charges

1. CR Ref.	2. ACTIVITIES	3. CR Price (\$m)	<b>4. Per unit price</b> (All fees for the Official Trustee attract GST and prices quoted are GST inclusive.)	5. Volume at initial CR review	6. Actual volume during 04/05	7. Estimated volume 05/06	8. Recommende d volume	9. Revenue based on recommende d volume + adjusted RC & OT fee rate	
	Policy & Legislative Reform							\$m	
1.1	Policy, legislation reform and support to ministers	0.80	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	0.80	Budget
1.2	Funding of trustees - Section 305	0.60	N/a	80	56	60	60	0.60	Budget
		1.40						1.40	
	Bankruptcy Registry & Compliance								
2.1	Processing Debtor Petitions and DA proposals	6.50	N/a	26000	27441	29000	30000	6.50	Budget
2.1	Processing PIAs	0.12	\$400 per proposal	300	206	200	200	0.08	Fee
2.2	Issue of Bankruptcy Notices	3.04	\$400 per notice- cost composition	7600	9100	12000	11000	4.40	Fee
			Cost of processing \$135	-				1.48	
			Contribution to cost of insolvency system \$265	-				2.92	
	Extension of Bankruptcy Notices		\$100 per extension		455	600	550	0.06	Fee
2.3	Issue of Official Receiver Notices	0.70	\$400+ \$200/hr if > 2hrs	675	500	870	700	0.28	Fee
2.4	NPII searches at ITSA offices	0.42	\$22 per search	19000	14400	12000	10000	0.22	Fee
2.4	NPII searches - broker service	2.70	\$14 per search	193000	223500	244000	240000	3.36	Fee
2.4	Personal Insolvency Reporting Service	0.24	\$1.62 per record + maintenance charges	151000	167000	170000	170000	0.28	Fee
2.4	Inspection of public documents	0.02	\$20 per inspection + \$2 per copy	900	500	500	500	0.01	Fee

Warning:
This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

1. CR Ref.	2. ACTIVITIES	3. CR Price (\$m)	<b>4. Per unit price</b> (All fees for the Official Trustee attract GST and prices quoted are GST inclusive.)	5. Volume at initial CR review	6. Actual volume during 04/05	7. Estimated volume 05/06	8. Recommende d volume	9. Revenue based on recommende d volume + adjusted RC & OT fee rate	
	Taxation of trustee remuneration & costs		\$200 per hour				15	0.06	Fee
		13.74						15.24	
	Bankruptcy Regulation								
2.5	Regulation of Trustees - information & education	0.20	N/a	205	205	205	205	0.20	RC
3.1	Regulation of Trustees - monitoring, complaints etc	1.80	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	N/a	1.80	RC
3.2	Regulation of Trustees - interviewing applicants	0.02	\$2000 per application	12	9	8	8	0.02	Fee
3.2	Regulation of Trustees - registration	0.01	\$1200 per registration	11	7	7	7	0.01	Fee
3.2	Regulation of Trustees - renewal of registrations	0.07	\$1200 every 3 yrs	60	70	70	70	0.08	Fee
3.3	Inspector General reviews	0.50	N/a	98	71	80	80	0.50	RC
		2.60						2.61	
	Estate Administration								
4.1	Administration of fee paying bankruptcies*	7.70	* \$3000 + 20% of realisations	2150	2136	2100	2100	8.65	Fee
4.2	Administration of non fee paying bankruptcies	4.90	N/a	2300	2272	2300	2300	3.00	RC
	Administration of s73 compositions & PIAs	0.00	20% of proposal amount	0	27	24	25	0.02	Fee
	Administration of OT administered DAs	0.00	20% of proposal amount	0	787	750	500	0.01	Fee
	Administration of special estates (eg Child Support)	0.00	\$200 per hour	0	11	15	15	0.01	Fee
	Administration of s188 Authorities & s50 orders	0.00	\$200 per hour	0	0	5	10	0.01	Fee

Warning:
This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

1. CR Ref.	2. ACTIVITIES	3. CR Price (\$m)	<b>4. Per unit price</b> (All fees for the Official Trustee attract GST and prices quoted are GST inclusive.)	5. Volume at initial CR review	6. Actual volume during 04/05	7. Estimated volume 05/06	8. Recommende d volume	9. Revenue based on recommende d volume + adjusted RC & OT fee rate		
		12.60		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		11.70		
	Bankruptcy Fraud Investigation									
5.1	Investigation of bankruptcy offences	1.80	N/a	697	629	800	800	1.80	RC	
		1.80			<u>'</u>			1.80		
	Proceeds of Crime									
6.1	Proceeds of crime - matters which realise assets	0.30	\$200 per hour	100	120	130	130	0.20	Fee	
6.1	Proceeds of crime - matters with no assets	1.10	N/a					1.10	Budget	
		1.40						1.30		
		33.54	TOTAL COST OF OUTPUTS					34.05		
			Funding source reconciliation							
		9.20	Realisations Charge @ 6.5% on bankruptcies, s73 & PIAs			(note 2)	\$114M	7.30		
		9.00	Total Budget funded	9.00						
		15.32	Total fee funded	tal fee funded						

The Official Trustee's fees for the administration of bankruptcies was initially proposed at a flag fall rate of \$4,500 + 16% of realisations up to \$100K and 12% of realisations thereafter. Based on cost data that has now been collected, the \$4,500 flag fall rate appears to be excessive and not reflective of the costs of undertaking preliminary enquiries in estates. It is proposed that the flag fall be set at \$3000 and a flat 20% fee (incl. GST) be imposed on any realisations in that estate. This fee structure is a better reflection of the cost of administering a typical estate.

#### Warning:

This Digest was prepared for debate. It reflects the legislation as introduced and does not canvass subsequent amendments.

There are occasions where an administration may be transferred between the Official Trustee and a Registered Trustee. In those instances, the Official Trustee's fees will continue to be determined based on an agreement with the Registered Trustee. The fees that the OT will be claiming in those instances will be the value of the work performed up to the date of the transfer.

<sup>1</sup>c. If the administration of a bankrupt estate requires the Official Trustee to manage an ongoing business, it is proposed that an additional fee of \$200/hr (plus GST) will apply for the time that is spent in managing the business.

Source: Insolvency and Trustee Service Australia

### © Copyright Commonwealth of Australia 2006

Except to the extent of the uses permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means including information storage and retrieval systems, without the prior written consent of the Department of Parliamentary Services, other than by senators and members of the Australian Parliament in the course of their official duties.

This brief has been prepared to support the work of the Australian Parliament using information available at the time of production. The views expressed do not reflect an official position of the Information and Research Service, nor do they constitute professional legal opinion.

Members, Senators and Parliamentary staff can obtain further information from the Information and Research Services on (02) 6277 2784.

## Warning: